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## The Poisson hypothesis


$\triangleright$ Interspike interval (ISI) statistics (under random stimulation)
$\triangleright$ Poisson hypothesis: ISI has exponential distribution Consequence: Markov property
$\triangleright$ For which models is it a good approximation?
What ISI can we expect for other (stochastic, conductance-based) models?

The stochastic exit problem
Stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$
\mathrm{d} x_{t}=f\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma g\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{t} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Exit problem:
Given $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, characterise First-exit time (and location)
$\tau_{\mathcal{D}}=\inf \left\{t>0: x_{t} \notin \mathcal{D}\right\}$


The stochastic exit problem
Stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$
\mathrm{d} x_{t}=f\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma g\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{t} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Exit problem:
Given $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, characterise First-exit time (and location)
$\tau_{\mathcal{D}}=\inf \left\{t>0: x_{t} \notin \mathcal{D}\right\}$


When do we have $\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}>s \mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{\mathcal{D}}\right]\right\}=\mathrm{e}^{-s}$ ?

## The stochastic exit problem

Stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$
\mathrm{d} x_{t}=f\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma g\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{t} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Exit problem:

Given $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, characterise First-exit time (and location)
$\tau_{\mathcal{D}}=\inf \left\{t>0: x_{t} \notin \mathcal{D}\right\}$


When do we have $\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}>s \mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{\mathcal{D}}\right]\right\}=\mathrm{e}^{-s}$ ?
$\triangleright$ True if $n=1 \Rightarrow$ true for integrate-and-fire models
$\triangleright$ True if $\mathcal{D} \subset$ basin of attraction [Day '83]
$\triangleright$ True if $f(x)=-\nabla U(x)$ and $g(x)=1$ [Bovier et al '04]

## The stochastic exit problem

Stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$
\mathrm{d} x_{t}=f\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma g\left(x_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} W_{t} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Exit problem:

Given $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, characterise First-exit time (and location)
$\tau_{\mathcal{D}}=\inf \left\{t>0: x_{t} \notin \mathcal{D}\right\}$


When do we have $\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}>s \mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{\mathcal{D}}\right]\right\}=\mathrm{e}^{-s}$ ?
$\triangleright$ True if $n=1 \Rightarrow$ true for integrate-and-fire models
$\triangleright$ True if $\mathcal{D} \subset$ basin of attraction [Day '83]
$\triangleright$ True if $f(x)=-\nabla U(x)$ and $g(x)=1$ [Bovier et al '04]
$\triangleright$ Not necessarily true if $n \geqslant 2$, curl $f \neq 0$ and $\partial \mathcal{D} \supset$ det orbit

## Deterministic FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) equations

Consider the FHN equations in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \dot{x} & =x-x^{3}+y \\
\dot{y} & =a-x-b y
\end{aligned}
$$

$\triangleright x \propto$ membrane potential of neuron
$\triangleright y \propto$ proportion of open ion channels (recovery variable)
$\triangleright \varepsilon \ll 1 \Rightarrow$ fast-slow system
$\triangleright b=0$ in the following for simplicity
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Stationary point $P=\left(a, a^{3}-a\right)$
Linearisation has eigenvalues $\frac{-\delta \pm \sqrt{\delta^{2}-\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}$ where $\delta=\frac{3 a^{2}-1}{2}$
$\triangleright \delta>0$ : stable node $(\delta>\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ or focus $(0<\delta<\sqrt{\varepsilon})$
$\triangleright \delta=0$ : singular Hopf bifurcation [Erneux \& Mandel '86]
$\triangleright \delta<0$ : unstable focus $(-\sqrt{\varepsilon}<\delta<0)$ or node $(\delta<-\sqrt{\varepsilon})$
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## Stochastic FHN equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} x_{t} & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[x_{t}-x_{t}^{3}+y_{t}\right] \mathrm{d} t+\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(1)} \\
\mathrm{d} y_{t} & =\left[a-x_{t}\right] \mathrm{d} t+\sigma_{2} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\triangleright W_{t}^{(1)}, W_{t}^{(2)}$ : independent Wiener processes
$\triangleright 0<\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \ll 1, \sigma=\sqrt{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}$
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$\triangleright W_{t}^{(1)}, W_{t}^{(2)}$ : independent Wiener processes
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon=0.1 \\
& \delta=0.02 \\
& \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=0.03
\end{aligned}
$$



## Some previous work

$\triangleright$ Numerical: Kosmidis \& Pakdaman '03, ..., Borowski et al '11
$\triangleright$ Moment methods: Tanabe \& Pakdaman '01
$\triangleright$ Approx. of Fokker-Planck equ: Lindner et al '99, Simpson \& Kuske '11
$\triangleright$ Large deviations: Muratov \& Vanden Eijnden '05, Doss \& Thieullen '09
$\triangleright$ Sample paths near canards: Sowers '08
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$\triangleright$ Moment methods: Tanabe \& Pakdaman '01
$\triangleright$ Approx. of Fokker-Planck equ: Lindner et al '99, Simpson \& Kuske '11
$\triangleright$ Large deviations: Muratov \& Vanden Eijnden '05, Doss \& Thieullen '09
$\triangleright$ Sample paths near canards: Sowers '08
Proposed "phase diagram" [Muratov \& Vanden Eijnden '08]


## Intermediate regime: mixed-mode oscillations (MMOs)



Time series $t \mapsto-x_{t}$ for $\varepsilon=0.01, \delta=3 \cdot 10^{-3}, \sigma=1.46 \cdot 10^{-4}, \ldots, 3.65 \cdot 10^{-4}$
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## Precise analysis of sample paths


$\triangleright$ Dynamics near stable branch, unstable branch and saddle-node bifurcation: already done in [B \& Gentz '05]
$\triangleright$ Dynamics near singular Hopf bifurcation: To do
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( $R_{0}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{N-1}$ ) substochastic Markov chain with kernel

$$
K\left(R_{0}, A\right)=\mathbb{P}^{R_{0}}\left\{R_{\tau} \in A\right\}
$$

$R \in \mathcal{F}, A \subset \mathcal{F}, \tau=$ first-hitting time of $\mathcal{F}$ (after turning around $P$ ) $N=$ number of turns around $P$ until leaving $\mathcal{D}$

## General results on distribution of SAOs

General theory of continuous-space Markov chains: [Orey '71, Nummelin '84]
Principal eigenvalue: eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ of $K$ of largest module. $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ Quasistationary distribution: prob. measure $\pi_{0}$ s.t. $\pi_{0} K=\lambda_{0} \pi_{0}$
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## Proof:

$\triangleright$ uses Frobenius-Perron-Jentzsch-Krein-Rutman-Birkhoff theorem
$\triangleright$ [Ben Arous, Kusuoka, Stroock '84] implies uniform positivity of $K$
$\triangleright$ which implies spectral gap

## Histograms of distribution of SAO number $N$ (1000 spikes)

 $\sigma=\varepsilon=10^{-4}, \delta=1.2 \cdot 10^{-3}, \ldots, 10^{-4}$

Change of variables:
$\triangleright$ Translate to Hopf bif. point
$\triangleright$ Scale space and time
$\triangleright$ Straighten nullcline $\dot{x}=0$
$\Rightarrow$ variables $(\xi, z)$ where nullcline: $\left\{z=\frac{1}{2}\right\}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \xi_{t} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}-z_{t}-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3} \xi_{t}^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
\mathrm{~d} z_{t} & =\left(\tilde{\mu}+2 \xi_{t} z_{t}+\frac{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3} \xi_{t}^{4}\right) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\mu}=\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}
$$

Change of variables:
$\triangleright$ Translate to Hopf bif. point
$\triangleright$ Scale space and time
$\triangleright$ Straighten nullcline $\dot{x}=0$
$\Rightarrow$ variables $(\xi, z)$ where nullcline: $\left\{z=\frac{1}{2}\right\}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \xi_{t} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}-z_{t}-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3} \xi_{t}^{3}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\tilde{\sigma}_{1} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(1)} \\
\mathrm{d} z_{t} & =\left(\tilde{\mu}+2 \xi_{t} z_{t}+\frac{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{3} \xi_{t}^{4}\right) \mathrm{d} t-2 \tilde{\sigma}_{1} \xi_{t} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(1)}+\tilde{\sigma}_{2} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\mu}=\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{2} \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{1}=-\sqrt{3} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\varepsilon^{3 / 4}} \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{2}=\sqrt{3} \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\varepsilon^{3 / 4}}
$$

Upward drift dominates if $\tilde{\mu}^{2} \gg \tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{\sigma}_{2}^{2} \Rightarrow\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 4} \delta\right)^{2} \gg \sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}$
Rotation around $P$ : use that $2 z \mathrm{e}^{-2 z-2 \xi^{2}+1}$ is constant for $\tilde{\mu}=\varepsilon=0$

## Dynamics near the separatrix

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)


## Transition from weak to strong noise

Linear approximation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{d} z_{t}^{0}=\left(\tilde{\mu}+t z_{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{d} t-\tilde{\sigma}_{1} t \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(1)}+\tilde{\sigma}_{2} \mathrm{~d} W_{t}^{(2)} \\
\Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{noSAO}\} \simeq \Phi\left(-\pi^{1 / 4} \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{\sigma}_{2}^{2}}}\right) \quad \Phi(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-y^{2} / 2}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} y
\end{gathered}
$$
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$\Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}\{$ no $S A O\} \simeq \Phi\left(-\pi^{1 / 4} \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{\sigma}_{2}^{2}}}\right) \quad \Phi(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-y^{2} / 2}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} y$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& *: \mathbb{P}\{\text { no } \mathrm{SAO}\} \\
& +: 1 / \mathbb{E}[N] \\
& \circ: 1-\lambda_{0} \\
& \text { curve: } x \mapsto \Phi\left(\pi^{1 / 4} x\right) \\
& \qquad x=-\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\sigma}_{1}^{2}+\tilde{\sigma}_{2}^{2}}}=-\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 4}\left(\delta-\sigma_{1}^{2} / \varepsilon\right)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The weak-noise regime
Theorem 2: [B \& Landon 2011]
Assume $\varepsilon$ and $\delta / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ sufficiently small
There exists $\kappa>0$ s.t. for $\sigma^{2} \leqslant\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 4} \delta\right)^{2} / \log (\sqrt{\varepsilon} / \delta)$
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## Proof:

$\triangleright$ Construct $A \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $K(x, A)$ exponentially close to 1 for all $x \in A$
$\triangleright$ Use two different sets of coordinates to approximate $K$ :
Near separatrix, and during SAO

## The story so far

Three regimes for $\delta<\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ :
$\triangleright \sigma \ll \varepsilon^{1 / 4} \delta$ : rare isolated spikes interval $\simeq \mathcal{E x p}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 4} \delta\right)^{2} / \sigma^{2}}\right)$
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## Perspectives

$\triangleright$ interspike interval distribution $\simeq$ periodically modulated exponential - how is it modulated?
$\triangleright$ transient effects are important - bias towards $N=1$ relation between $\mathbb{P}\{$ no $S A O\}, 1 / \mathbb{E}[N]$ and $1-\lambda_{0}$
$\triangleright$ consequences of postspike distribution $\mu_{0} \neq \pi_{0}$
$\triangleright$ sharper bounds on $\lambda_{0}$ (and $\pi_{0}$ )

## Higher dimensions

Systems with one fast and two slow variables


## Folded node singularity

Normal form [Benoit, Lobry '82, Szmolyan, Wechselberger '01]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \dot{x} & =y-x^{2} \\
\dot{y} & =-(\mu+1) x-z \quad(+ \text { higher-order terms }) \\
\dot{z} & =\frac{\mu}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Normal form [Benoit, Lobry '82, Szmolyan, Wechselberger '01]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \dot{x} & =y-x^{2} \\
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## Folded node singularity

Theorem [Benoît, Lobry '82, Szmolyan, Wechselberger '01]:
For $2 k+1<\mu^{-1}<2 k+3$, the system admits $k$ canard solutions The $j^{\text {th }}$ canard makes $(2 j+1) / 2$ oscillations


## Effect of noise

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} x_{t} & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(y_{t}-x_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} W_{t}^{(1)} \\
\mathrm{d} y_{t} & =\left[-(\mu+1) x_{t}-z_{t}\right] \mathrm{d} t+\sigma \mathrm{d} W_{t}^{(2)} \quad+\text { h.o.t. } \\
\mathrm{d} z_{t} & =\frac{\mu}{2} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$




- Noise smears out small amplitude oscillations
- Early transitions modify the mixed-mode pattern


## Main results

Theorem 3: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
$\triangleright$ For $z \leqslant 0$, paths stay with high probability in covariance tubes
$\triangleright$ For $z=0$, section of tube is close to circular with radius $\mu^{-1 / 4} \sigma$
$\triangleright$ Distance between $k^{\text {th }}$ and $k+1^{\text {st }}$ canard $\sim \mathrm{e}^{-(2 k+1)^{2} \mu}$
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## Corollary: <br> Let <br> $\sigma_{k}(\mu)=\mu^{1 / 4} e^{-(2 k+1)^{2} \mu}$

Canards with $\frac{2 k+1}{4}$ oscillations become indistinguishable from noisy fluctuations for $\sigma>\sigma_{k}(\mu)$


## Main results

Theorem 3: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
$\triangleright$ For $z \leqslant 0$, paths stay with high probability in covariance tubes
$\triangleright$ For $z=0$, section of tube is close to circular with radius $\mu^{-1 / 4} \sigma$
$\triangleright$ Distance between $k^{\text {th }}$ and $k+1^{\text {st }}$ canard $\sim \mathrm{e}^{-(2 k+1)^{2} \mu}$
Theorem 4: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
For $z>0$, paths are likely to escape after time of order $\sqrt{\mu|\log \sigma|}$
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$\triangleright$ Estimate global return map for stochastic system
$\triangleright$ Analyse possible mixed-mode patterns
Possible scenario:
metastable transitions between regular patterns
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Possible scenario:
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$\triangleright$ Comparison with real data

## Summary

$\triangleright$ ISI distributions are not always exponential
$\triangleright$ Transient effects are important (QSD, metastability)
$\triangleright$ Precise sample path analysis is possible, useful tools exist (in some cases): singular perturbation theory, large deviations, martingales, substochastic Markov processes, ...
$\triangleright$ Still many open problems: other bifurcations, better approximation of QSD, higher dimensions, other types of noise, ...

## Further reading

N.B. and Barbara Gentz, Noise-induced phenomena in slow-fast dynamical systems, A sample-paths approach, Springer, Probability and its Applications (2006)
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N.B., Barbara Gentz and Christian Kuehn, Hunting French Ducks in a Noisy Environment, J. Differential Equations 252:4786-4841 (2012)
N.B. and Damien Landon, Mixed-mode oscillations and interspike interval statistics in the stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo model, Nonlinearity, at press (2012). arXiv:1105.1278
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## Additional material

## Covariance tubes

Linearized stochastic equation around a canard ( $x_{t}^{\text {det }}, y_{t}^{\text {det }}, z_{t}^{\text {det }}$ )

$$
\mathrm{d} \zeta_{t}=A(t) \zeta_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma \mathrm{d} W_{t} \quad A(t)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-2 x_{t}^{\mathrm{det}} & 1 \\
-(1+\mu) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\zeta_{t}=U(t) \zeta_{0}+\sigma \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \mathrm{d} W_{s} \quad(U(t, s)$ : principal solution of $\dot{U}=A U)$
Gaussian process with covariance matrix
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)=\sigma^{2} V(t) \quad V(t)=U(t) V(0) U(t)^{-1}+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) U(t, s)^{T} \mathrm{~d} s$

## Covariance tubes

Linearized stochastic equation around a canard ( $x_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}, y_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}, z_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}$ )

$$
\mathrm{d} \zeta_{t}=A(t) \zeta_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma \mathrm{d} W_{t} \quad A(t)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-2 x_{t}^{\text {det }} & 1 \\
-(1+\mu) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\zeta_{t}=U(t) \zeta_{0}+\sigma \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \mathrm{d} W_{s} \quad(U(t, s)$ : principal solution of $\dot{U}=A U)$
Gaussian process with covariance matrix

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\zeta_{t}\right)=\sigma^{2} V(t) \quad V(t)=U(t) V(0) U(t)^{-1}+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) U(t, s)^{T} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Covariance tube :

$$
\mathcal{B}(h)=\left\{\left\langle(x, y)-\left(x_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}, y_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}\right), V(t)^{-1}\left[(x, y)-\left(x_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}, y_{t}^{\mathrm{det}}\right)\right]\right\rangle<h^{2}\right\}
$$

Theorem 3: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
Probability of leaving covariance tube before time $t$ (with $z_{t} \leqslant 0$ ):

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{B}(h)}<t\right\} \leqslant C(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa h^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Covariance tubes

Theorem 3: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
Probability of leaving covariance tube before time $t$ (with $z_{t} \leqslant 0$ ):

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{B}(h)}<t\right\} \leqslant C(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa h^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Sketch of proof :
$\triangleright($ Sub $)$ martingale : $\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \geqslant 0}, \mathbb{E}\left\{M_{t} \mid M_{s}\right\}=(\geqslant) M_{s}$ for $t \geqslant s \geqslant 0$
$\triangleright$ Doob's submartingale inequality : $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} M_{t} \geqslant L\right\} \leqslant \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}\right]$

## Covariance tubes

Theorem 3: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
Probability of leaving covariance tube before time $t$ (with $z_{t} \leqslant 0$ ) :

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{B}(h)}<t\right\} \leqslant C(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa h^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Sketch of proof :
$\triangleright($ Sub $)$ martingale : $\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \geqslant 0}, \mathbb{E}\left\{M_{t} \mid M_{s}\right\}=(\geqslant) M_{s}$ for $t \geqslant s \geqslant 0$
$\triangleright$ Doob's submartingale inequality : $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} M_{t} \geqslant L\right\} \leqslant \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}\right]$
$\triangleright$ Linear equation: $\zeta_{t}=\sigma \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \mathrm{d} W_{s}$ is no martingale but can be approximated by martingale on small time intervals
$\triangleright \exp \left\{\gamma\left\langle\zeta_{t}, V(t)^{-1} \zeta_{t}\right\rangle\right\}$ approximated by submartingale
$\triangleright$ Doob's inequality yields bound on probability of leaving $\mathcal{B}(h)$ during small time intervals. Then sum over all time intervals

## Covariance tubes

Theorem 3: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
Probability of leaving covariance tube before time $t$ (with $z_{t} \leqslant 0$ ):

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{\mathcal{B}(h)}<t\right\} \leqslant C(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa h^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Sketch of proof :
$\triangleright($ Sub $)$ martingale : $\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \geqslant 0}, \mathbb{E}\left\{M_{t} \mid M_{s}\right\}=(\geqslant) M_{s}$ for $t \geqslant s \geqslant 0$
$\triangleright$ Doob's submartingale inequality : $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} M_{t} \geqslant L\right\} \leqslant \frac{1}{L} \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T}\right]$
$\triangleright$ Linear equation : $\zeta_{t}=\sigma \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \mathrm{d} W_{s}$ is no martingale
but can be approximated by martingale on small time intervals
$\triangleright \exp \left\{\gamma\left\langle\zeta_{t}, V(t)^{-1} \zeta_{t}\right\rangle\right\}$ approximated by submartingale
$\triangleright$ Doob's inequality yields bound on probability of leaving $\mathcal{B}(h)$ during small time intervals. Then sum over all time intervals
$\triangleright$ Nonlinear equation: $\mathrm{d} \zeta_{t}=A(t) \zeta_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+b\left(\zeta_{t}, t\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sigma \mathrm{d} W_{t}$

$$
\zeta_{t}=\sigma \int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) \mathrm{d} W_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} U(t, s) b\left(\zeta_{s}, s\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Second integral can be treated as small perturbation for $t \leqslant \tau_{\mathcal{B}(h)}$

## Early transitions

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be neighbourhood of size $\sqrt{z}$ of a canard for $z>0$ (unstable)
Theorem 4: [B, Gentz, Kuehn 2010]
$\exists \kappa, C, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}>0$ such that for $\sigma|\log \sigma|^{\gamma_{1}} \leqslant \mu^{3 / 4}$ probability of leaving $\mathcal{D}$ after $z_{t}=z$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{z_{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}}>z\right\} \leqslant C|\log \sigma|^{\gamma_{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\kappa\left(z^{2}-\mu\right) /(\mu|\log \sigma|)}
$$

Small for $z \gg \sqrt{\mu|\log \sigma| / \kappa}$
Sketch of proof :
$\triangleright$ Escape from neighbourhood of size $\sigma|\log \sigma| / \sqrt{z}$ : compare with linearized equation on small time intervals + Markov property
$\triangleright$ Escape from annulus $\sigma|\log \sigma| / \sqrt{z} \leqslant\|\zeta\| \leqslant \sqrt{z}$ : use polar coordinates and averaging
$\triangleright$ To combine the two regimes : use Laplace transforms

