Torus knot groups, Garside groups, complex reflection groups

Thomas Gobet

Institut Denis Poisson, Université de Tours

Conference *Braids and beyond*,
Université de Caen,
10th September 2021.


Tracts in Mathematics 22
Patrick Dehornoy
with François Digne
Eddy Godelle
Daan Krammer
Jean Michel
Foundations of
Garside Theory

Question 30. Does the submonoid of B_n generated by σ_1, $\sigma_1\sigma_2$, ..., $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}$ admit a finite presentation? Is it a Garside monoid?

Question 30. Does the submonoid of B_n generated by σ_1, $\sigma_1\sigma_2$, ..., $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}$ admit a finite presentation? Is it a Garside monoid?

Let Σ_n be the submonoid of the n-strand braid group $\mathcal{B}_n$ generated by $\sigma_1, \sigma_1\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_{n-1}$.

Plan of the talk

Part I: **Dehornoy-Digne-Godelle-Krammer-Michel's monoid and some torus knot groups**

Part II: **A new Garside structure on torus knot groups and some braid groups of complex reflection groups**

Part III: **"Reflection" quotients of torus knot groups**

Part I

Dehornoy-Digne-Godelle-Krammer-Michel's monoid and some torus knot groups

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- Four Garside structures on $\mathcal{B}_3$:

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- Four Garside structures on $\mathcal{B}_3$:

"Classical"

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \;\middle|\; \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

"Toric"

$$\left\langle x, y \;\middle|\; x^2 = y^3 \right\rangle$$

"Dual"

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \;\middle|\; \tau_1\tau_2 = \tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_3\tau_1 \right\rangle$$

"Exotic"

$$\left\langle a, b \;\middle|\; aba = b^2 \right\rangle$$

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- Four Garside structures on $\mathcal{B}_3$:

"Classical"

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \;\middle|\; \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

"Toric"

$$\left\langle x, y \;\middle|\; x^2 = y^3 \right\rangle$$

"Dual"

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \;\middle|\; \tau_1\tau_2 = \tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_3\tau_1 \right\rangle$$

"Exotic"

$$\left\langle a, b \;\middle|\; aba = b^2 \right\rangle$$

- **Dual braid monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 = \sigma_2$, $\tau_3 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}$.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- Four Garside structures on $\mathcal{B}_3$:

"Classical"

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \;\middle|\; \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

"Toric"

$$\left\langle x, y \;\middle|\; x^2 = y^3 \right\rangle$$

"Dual"

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \;\middle|\; \tau_1\tau_2 = \tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_3\tau_1 \right\rangle$$

"Exotic"

$$\left\langle a, b \;\middle|\; aba = b^2 \right\rangle$$

- **Dual braid monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 = \sigma_2$, $\tau_3 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}$.
- **Toric monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $x = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$, $y = \sigma_1\sigma_2$.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- Four Garside structures on $\mathcal{B}_3$:

"Classical"

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \;\middle|\; \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

"Toric"

$$\left\langle x, y \;\middle|\; x^2 = y^3 \right\rangle$$

"Dual"

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \;\middle|\; \tau_1\tau_2 = \tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_3\tau_1 \right\rangle$$

"Exotic"

$$\left\langle a, b \;\middle|\; aba = b^2 \right\rangle$$

- **Dual braid monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 = \sigma_2$, $\tau_3 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}$.
- **Toric monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $x = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$, $y = \sigma_1\sigma_2$.
- **Exotic monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $a = \sigma_1$, $b = \sigma_1\sigma_2$.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- Four Garside structures on $\mathcal{B}_3$:

"Classical"

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \;\middle|\; \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2 \right\rangle$$

"Toric"

$$\left\langle x, y \;\middle|\; x^2 = y^3 \right\rangle$$

"Dual"

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \;\middle|\; \tau_1\tau_2 = \tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_3\tau_1 \right\rangle$$

"Exotic"

$$\left\langle a, b \;\middle|\; aba = b^2 \right\rangle$$

- **Dual braid monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $\tau_1 = \sigma_1$, $\tau_2 = \sigma_2$, $\tau_3 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}$.
- **Toric monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $x = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1$, $y = \sigma_1\sigma_2$.
- **Exotic monoid** : in terms of the classical Artin generators we have $a = \sigma_1$, $b = \sigma_1\sigma_2$. The Garside element Δ is given by b^3. We have $\mathrm{Div}(\Delta) = \{1, a, b, ab, b^2, ba, bab, b^3\}$.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- The two Garside structures in the first column can be generalized to $\mathcal{B}_n$, $n > 3$.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- The two Garside structures in the first column can be generalized to $\mathcal{B}_n$, $n > 3$.
- The classical, dual, and toric structures can be generalized to *torus knot groups*.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- The two Garside structures in the first column can be generalized to $\mathcal{B}_n$, $n > 3$.
- The classical, dual, and toric structures can be generalized to *torus knot groups*. Given n and m two coprime integers ≥ 2, the group $G(n,m) = \langle\, x, y \mid x^n = y^m \rangle$ is the fundamental group of the complement of the torus knot $T_{n,m}$. It is a Garside group (Dehornoy-Paris 1999, Picantin 2003). One has $G(2,3) \cong \mathcal{B}_3 \cong G(3,2)$.

Garside structures: focusing on $\mathcal{B}_3$

- The two Garside structures in the first column can be generalized to $\mathcal{B}_n$, $n > 3$.
- The classical, dual, and toric structures can be generalized to *torus knot groups*. Given n and m two coprime integers ≥ 2, the group $G(n,m) = \langle\, x, y \mid x^n = y^m \rangle$ is the fundamental group of the complement of the torus knot $T_{n,m}$. It is a Garside group (Dehornoy-Paris 1999, Picantin 2003). One has $G(2,3) \cong \mathcal{B}_3 \cong G(3,2)$.
- What about the "exotic" structure ?

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

- Let $n \geq 2$.

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

- Let $n \geq 2$. Consider the monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ (resp. the group $\mathcal{G}(n)$) defined by the presentation

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

- Let $n \geq 2$. Consider the monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ (resp. the group $\mathcal{G}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1 \rho_n \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} \rho_n \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < n \right\rangle$$

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

- Let $n \geq 2$. Consider the monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ (resp. the group $\mathcal{G}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1\rho_n\rho_i = \rho_{i+1}\rho_n \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < n \right\rangle$$

- If $n = 2$, we obtain the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \;\middle|\; \rho_1\rho_2\rho_1 = \rho_2^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle a, b \;\middle|\; aba = b^2 \right\rangle$$

of the exotic monoid.

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$.

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$.

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is a Garside monoid with (central) Garside element $\Delta = \rho_n^{n+1}$ and (left and right) lcm of the atoms equal to ρ_n^n.*

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$.

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is a Garside monoid with (central) Garside element $\Delta = \rho_n^{n+1}$ and (left and right) lcm of the atoms equal to ρ_n^n.*
2. *The Garside group $\mathcal{G}(n)$ is isomorphic to $G(n, n+1)$, the knot group of the torus knot $T_{n,n+1}$.*

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$.

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is a Garside monoid with (central) Garside element $\Delta = \rho_n^{n+1}$ and (left and right) lcm of the atoms equal to ρ_n^n.*
2. *The Garside group $\mathcal{G}(n)$ is isomorphic to $G(n, n+1)$, the knot group of the torus knot $T_{n,n+1}$.*

In fact, the group $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ surjects onto $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ ($\rho_i \mapsto \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i$). We have

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$.

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is a Garside monoid with (central) Garside element $\Delta = \rho_n^{n+1}$ and (left and right) lcm of the atoms equal to ρ_n^n.*
2. *The Garside group $\mathcal{G}(n)$ is isomorphic to $G(n, n+1)$, the knot group of the torus knot $T_{n,n+1}$.*

In fact, the group $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ surjects onto $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ ($\rho_i \mapsto \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i$). We have

Proposition

The image of $\mathcal{M}(n)$ inside $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ is exactly the monoid Σ_{n+1} of Dehornoy–Digne–Godelle–Krammer–Michel.

Generalization of the exotic Garside structure

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$.

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{M}(n)$ is a Garside monoid with (central) Garside element $\Delta = \rho_n^{n+1}$ and (left and right) lcm of the atoms equal to ρ_n^n.*
2. *The Garside group $\mathcal{G}(n)$ is isomorphic to $G(n, n+1)$, the knot group of the torus knot $T_{n,n+1}$.*

In fact, the group $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ surjects onto $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ ($\rho_i \mapsto \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i$). We have

Proposition

The image of $\mathcal{M}(n)$ inside $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ is exactly the monoid Σ_{n+1} of Dehornoy–Digne–Godelle–Krammer–Michel. In particular Σ_{n+1} is a quotient of $\mathcal{M}(n)$.

Example : $n = 3$

Example : $n = 3$

The group $\mathcal{G}(3)$ is isomorphic to the braid group of the exceptional complex reflection group G_{12}. The lattice of divisors of Δ (for left-divisibility) is given by :

Example : $n = 3$

The group $\mathcal{G}(3)$ is isomorphic to the braid group of the exceptional complex reflection group G_{12}. The lattice of divisors of Δ (for left-divisibility) is given by :



About the lattice of simples

About the lattice of simples

Theorem (Rognerud-G., 2021)

About the lattice of simples

Theorem (Rognerud-G., 2021)

Let $\Delta_n := \rho_n^{n+1}$ *be the Garside element in* $\mathcal{M}(n)$. *We have*

$$|\mathrm{Div}(\Delta_n)| = F_{2(n+1)},$$

where F_i *is the* i*-th entry of the Fibonacci sequence.*

About the lattice of simples

Theorem (Rognerud-G., 2021)

Let $\Delta_n := \rho_n^{n+1}$ *be the Garside element in* $\mathcal{M}(n)$*. We have*

$$|\mathrm{Div}(\Delta_n)| = F_{2(n+1)},$$

where F_i *is the* i*-th entry of the Fibonacci sequence. Hence the number of simples is given by* $8, 21, 55, 144, 377, 987, \ldots$*.*

About the lattice of simples

Theorem (Rognerud-G., 2021)

Let $\Delta_n := \rho_n^{n+1}$ be the Garside element in $\mathcal{M}(n)$. We have

$$|\mathrm{Div}(\Delta_n)| = F_{2(n+1)},$$

where F_i is the i-th entry of the Fibonacci sequence. Hence the number of simples is given by $8, 21, 55, 144, 377, 987, \ldots$.

(There is a description of the lattice of simples in terms of *Schroeder trees*).

About the monoid Σ_n

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question :

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ?

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ? Is is finitely presented ?"

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ? Is is finitely presented ?"
Let $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^+$) be the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(n)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{M}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1\rho_j\rho_{i-1} = \rho_i\rho_j,\ \forall 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n \right\rangle$$

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ? Is is finitely presented ?"
Let $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^+$) be the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(n)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{M}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1\rho_j\rho_{i-1} = \rho_i\rho_j, \; \forall 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n \right\rangle$$

Proposition

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ? Is is finitely presented ?"
Let $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^+_{n+1}$) be the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(n)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{M}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1\rho_j\rho_{i-1} = \rho_i\rho_j,\ \forall 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n \right\rangle$$

Proposition

1. *There are surjections* $\mathcal{M}(n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^+_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}$,

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ? Is is finitely presented ?"
Let $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^+_{n+1}$) be the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(n)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{M}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1\rho_j\rho_{i-1} = \rho_i\rho_j,\ \forall 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n \right\rangle$$

Proposition

1. *There are surjections* $\mathcal{M}(n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^+_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}$*,*
2. *The monoid* Σ_{n+1} *is an Ore monoid with group of fractions isomorphic to* $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$*. It is not a Garside monoid when* $n > 2$*.*

About the monoid Σ_n

Let us come back to DDGKM's question : "Is the monoid Σ_n a Garside monoid ? Is is finitely presented ?"
Let $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^+_{n+1}$) be the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(n)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{M}(n)$) defined by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \rho_1 \rho_j \rho_{i-1} = \rho_i \rho_j, \; \forall 2 \leq i \leq j \leq n \right\rangle$$

Proposition

1. *There are surjections* $\mathcal{M}(n) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^+_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_{n+1}$,
2. *The monoid* Σ_{n+1} *is an Ore monoid with group of fractions isomorphic to* $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$. *It is not a Garside monoid when* $n > 2$.
3. *We have* $\mathcal{H}_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$.

About the monoid Σ_n

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative.

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^+$ is cancellative.

Lemma

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative.

Lemma

The following properties are equivalent:

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative.

Lemma

The following properties are equivalent:

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative,*

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative.

Lemma

The following properties are equivalent:

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative,*
2. *We have $\Sigma_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$.*

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative.

Lemma

The following properties are equivalent:

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$ is cancellative,*
2. *We have $\Sigma_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{+}$.*

In particular, we can negatively answer the first part of DDGKM's question.

About the monoid Σ_n

Moreover we conjecture :

Conjecture

The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^+$ is cancellative.

Lemma

The following properties are equivalent:

1. *The monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^+$ is cancellative,*
2. *We have $\Sigma_{n+1} \cong \mathcal{H}_{n+1}^+$.*

In particular, we can negatively answer the first part of DDGKM's question. It the above conjecture holds, then we can positively answer the second part of DDGKM's question.

Part II

A new Garside structure on torus knot groups and some braid groups of complex reflection groups

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Theorem (Generalization to arbitrary torus knot groups)

Let $n, m \geq 2$ be two relatively prime integers. The Garside structure introduced above for $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ can be generalized to all torus knot groups $G(n, m)$.

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Theorem (Generalization to arbitrary torus knot groups)

Let $n, m \geq 2$ be two relatively prime integers. The Garside structure introduced above for $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ can be generalized to all torus knot groups $G(n, m)$. More precisely, let $m = qn + r$ be the euclidean division of m by n ;

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Theorem (Generalization to arbitrary torus knot groups)

Let $n, m \geq 2$ be two relatively prime integers. The Garside structure introduced above for $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ can be generalized to all torus knot groups $G(n, m)$. More precisely, let $m = qn + r$ be the euclidean division of m by n ; Define the monoid $\mathcal{M}(n, m)$ (resp. the group $\mathcal{G}(n, m)$) by the presentation

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Theorem (Generalization to arbitrary torus knot groups)

Let $n, m \geq 2$ be two relatively prime integers. The Garside structure introduced above for $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ can be generalized to all torus knot groups $G(n, m)$. More precisely, let $m = qn + r$ be the euclidean division of m by n ; Define the monoid $\mathcal{M}(n, m)$ (resp. the group $\mathcal{G}(n, m)$) by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \rho_r \rho_n^q \rho_{i-r} = \rho_i \rho_n^q \text{ if } r < i \leq n, \\ \rho_r \rho_n^q \rho_{n+i-r} = \rho_i \rho_n^{q+1} \text{ if } 1 \leq i < r. \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Theorem (Generalization to arbitrary torus knot groups)

Let $n, m \geq 2$ be two relatively prime integers. The Garside structure introduced above for $\mathcal{G}(n) \cong G(n, n+1)$ can be generalized to all torus knot groups $G(n, m)$. More precisely, let $m = qn + r$ be the euclidean division of m by n ; Define the monoid $\mathcal{M}(n, m)$ (resp. the group $\mathcal{G}(n, m)$) by the presentation

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \rho_r \rho_n^q \rho_{i-r} = \rho_i \rho_n^q \text{ if } r < i \leq n, \\ \rho_r \rho_n^q \rho_{n+i-r} = \rho_i \rho_n^{q+1} \text{ if } 1 \leq i < r. \end{array} \right\rangle$$

(we have $\mathcal{M}(n) = \mathcal{M}(n, n+1)$).

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

- A few torus knot groups can be obtained as Artin-Tits groups of spherical type or more generally as braid groups of certain irreducible complex reflection groups of rank two, namely (in Coxeter or Shephard-Todd notation):

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

- A few torus knot groups can be obtained as Artin-Tits groups of spherical type or more generally as braid groups of certain irreducible complex reflection groups of rank two, namely (in Coxeter or Shephard-Todd notation):

$$\begin{aligned} G(2,3) \cong \mathcal{B}_3 \cong \mathcal{B}(G_4) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_8) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{16}), \\ G(2,5) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{20}), \\ G(2,m) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(m)),\ m \text{ odd}, \\ G(3,4) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{12}),\ G(3,5) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

Torus knot groups and braid groups of complex reflection groups

- A few torus knot groups can be obtained as Artin-Tits groups of spherical type or more generally as braid groups of certain irreducible complex reflection groups of rank two, namely (in Coxeter or Shephard-Todd notation):

$$G(2,3) \cong \mathcal{B}_3 \cong \mathcal{B}(G_4) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_8) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{16}),$$
$$G(2,5) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{20}),$$
$$G(2,m) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(m)),\ m \text{ odd},$$
$$G(3,4) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{12}),\ G(3,5) \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{22}).$$

- Moreover, a Garside structure analogous to the one introduced above for torus knot groups can be constructed for a few additional braid groups of complex reflection groups of rank two which are not isomorphic to torus knot groups.

Analogous Garside structures

Analogous Garside structures

- The following monoid yields a Garside monoid for G_{13}:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \rho_1\rho_3\rho_2 = \rho_3^2 \\ \rho_2\rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_3^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

Analogous Garside structures

- The following monoid yields a Garside monoid for G_{13}:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \rho_1\rho_3\rho_2 = \rho_3^2 \\ \rho_2\rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_3^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

- It was shown by Bannai that $\mathcal{B}(G_{13}) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(6))$.

Analogous Garside structures

- The following monoid yields a Garside monoid for G_{13}:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \rho_1\rho_3\rho_2 = \rho_3^2 \\ \rho_2\rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_3^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

- It was shown by Bannai that $\mathcal{B}(G_{13}) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(6))$.
- Somewhat surprisingly, this monoid can be generalized to all Artin-Tits groups of even dihedral type:

Proposition

Analogous Garside structures

- The following monoid yields a Garside monoid for G_{13}:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \rho_1\rho_3\rho_2 = \rho_3^2 \\ \rho_2\rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_3^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

- It was shown by Bannai that $\mathcal{B}(G_{13}) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(6))$.
- Somewhat surprisingly, this monoid can be generalized to all Artin-Tits groups of even dihedral type:

Proposition

Let $n \geq 1$.

Analogous Garside structures

- The following monoid yields a Garside monoid for G_{13}:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \rho_1\rho_3\rho_2 = \rho_3^2 \\ \rho_2\rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_3^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

- It was shown by Bannai that $\mathcal{B}(G_{13}) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(6))$.
- Somewhat surprisingly, this monoid can be generalized to all Artin-Tits groups of even dihedral type:

Proposition

Let $n \geq 1$. The monoid

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \tau_1\rho\tau_2 = \rho^2 \\ \tau_2\rho^n\tau_1 = \rho^{n+1} \end{array} \right\rangle$$

is a Garside monoid for $\mathcal{B}(I_2(4+2n))$.

Analogous Garside structures

- The following monoid yields a Garside monoid for G_{13}:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \rho_1\rho_3\rho_2 = \rho_3^2 \\ \rho_2\rho_3\rho_1 = \rho_3^2 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

- It was shown by Bannai that $\mathcal{B}(G_{13}) \cong \mathcal{B}(I_2(6))$.
- Somewhat surprisingly, this monoid can be generalized to all Artin-Tits groups of even dihedral type:

Proposition

Let $n \geq 1$. The monoid

$$\left\langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \tau_1\rho\tau_2 = \rho^2 \\ \tau_2\rho^n\tau_1 = \rho^{n+1} \end{array} \right\rangle$$

is a Garside monoid for $\mathcal{B}(I_2(4+2n))$.

- Note that the above monoid is still defined for $n = 0$. But we get $\rho = \tau_2\tau_1$, and just recover the classical Garside structure on $\mathcal{B}(B_2)$.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}).

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image. The quotient of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ is infinite.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image. The quotient of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ is infinite.
- Let $n < m$ be as above.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image. The quotient of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ is infinite.
- Let $n < m$ be as above. The group $G(n, m)$ has a well-known presentation

$$\langle\, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \mid \underbrace{x_1 x_2 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{x_2 x_3 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \cdots = \underbrace{x_n x_1 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} \rangle.$$

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image. The quotient of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ is infinite.
- Let $n < m$ be as above. The group $G(n, m)$ has a well-known presentation

$$\langle\, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \mid \underbrace{x_1 x_2 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{x_2 x_3 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \cdots = \underbrace{x_n x_1 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} \rangle.$$

- Taking reflection quotients as above corresponds to adding a relation $x_1^k = 1$ for $k \geq 2$.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image. The quotient of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ is infinite.
- Let $n < m$ be as above. The group $G(n,m)$ has a well-known presentation

$$\langle\, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \mid \underbrace{x_1 x_2 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{x_2 x_3 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \cdots = \underbrace{x_n x_1 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} \rangle.$$

- Taking reflection quotients as above corresponds to adding a relation $x_1^k = 1$ for $k \geq 2$. One then has $x_i^k = 1$ for all i.

Torus knot groups as "braid groups" of complex reflection groups ?

- Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(2)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ (resp. $\rho_1^k = 1$, $k = 3, 4, 5$) yields the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3$ (resp. the CRG G_4, G_8, G_{16}). Taking the quotient of $\mathcal{G}(3)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ yields the CRG G_{12}. Except for ρ_1, the generators do not have a reflection as image. The quotient of $\mathcal{G}(4)$ by the relation $\rho_1^2 = 1$ is infinite.
- Let $n < m$ be as above. The group $G(n, m)$ has a well-known presentation

$$\langle\, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid \underbrace{x_1 x_2 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \underbrace{x_2 x_3 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} = \cdots = \underbrace{x_n x_1 \cdots}_{m \text{ factors}} \rangle.$$

- Taking reflection quotients as above corresponds to adding a relation $x_1^k = 1$ for $k \geq 2$. One then has $x_i^k = 1$ for all i. Denote by $W(k, n, m)$ such a quotient.

Part III

"Reflection" quotients of torus knot groups

Particular cases of $W(k,n,m)$ and questions

Particular cases of $W(k, n, m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k, n, m)$:

Particular cases of $W(k, n, m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k, n, m)$:
  - $W(2, 2, m)$ is the dihedral group $I_2(m)$.

Particular cases of $W(k,n,m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k,n,m)$:
  - $W(2,2,m)$ is the dihedral group $I_2(m)$.
  - $W(2,3,4) = G_{12}$, $W(2,3,5) = G_{22}$, $W(3,2,5) = G_{20}$.

Particular cases of $W(k,n,m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k,n,m)$:
  - $W(2,2,m)$ is the dihedral group $I_2(m)$.
  - $W(2,3,4) = G_{12}$, $W(2,3,5) = G_{22}$, $W(3,2,5) = G_{20}$.
  - $W(k,2,3)$ $(k \geq 3)$ is Coxeter's truncated braid group on two generators, i.e., the quotient of $\mathcal{B}_3$ by the relation $\sigma_1^k = \sigma_2^k = 1$.

Particular cases of $W(k,n,m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k,n,m)$:
  - $W(2,2,m)$ is the dihedral group $I_2(m)$.
  - $W(2,3,4) = G_{12}$, $W(2,3,5) = G_{22}$, $W(3,2,5) = G_{20}$.
  - $W(k,2,3)$ $(k \geq 3)$ is Coxeter's truncated braid group on two generators, i.e., the quotient of $\mathcal{B}_3$ by the relation $\sigma_1^k = \sigma_2^k = 1$. We have $W(3,2,3) = G_4$, $W(4,2,3) = G_8$, $W(5,2,3) = G_{16}$.

Particular cases of $W(k,n,m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k,n,m)$:
  - $W(2,2,m)$ is the dihedral group $I_2(m)$.
  - $W(2,3,4) = G_{12}$, $W(2,3,5) = G_{22}$, $W(3,2,5) = G_{20}$.
  - $W(k,2,3)$ $(k \geq 3)$ is Coxeter's truncated braid group on two generators, i.e., the quotient of $\mathcal{B}_3$ by the relation $\sigma_1^k = \sigma_2^k = 1$. We have $W(3,2,3) = G_4$, $W(4,2,3) = G_8$, $W(5,2,3) = G_{16}$. When $k \geq 6$ the group is infinite, and admits a representation as a complex reflection group on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (which is unfaithful in general).

Particular cases of $W(k,n,m)$ and questions

- The following groups appear as particular instances of the groups $W(k,n,m)$:
  - $W(2,2,m)$ is the dihedral group $I_2(m)$.
  - $W(2,3,4) = G_{12}$, $W(2,3,5) = G_{22}$, $W(3,2,5) = G_{20}$.
  - $W(k,2,3)$ $(k \geq 3)$ is Coxeter's truncated braid group on two generators, i.e., the quotient of $\mathcal{B}_3$ by the relation $\sigma_1^k = \sigma_2^k = 1$. We have $W(3,2,3) = G_4$, $W(4,2,3) = G_8$, $W(5,2,3) = G_{16}$. When $k \geq 6$ the group is infinite, and admits a representation as a complex reflection group on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (which is unfaithful in general).
- Every finite reflection group occurring above is a rank-two complex reflection group.

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

Achar and Aubert introduced a family of (in general infinite) groups, called *J-groups*.

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

Achar and Aubert introduced a family of (in general infinite) groups, called *J-groups*.

Let a, b, c three integers ≥ 1.

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

Achar and Aubert introduced a family of (in general infinite) groups, called *J-groups*.

Let a, b, c three integers ≥ 1. Let $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$ be the group defined by the presentation

$$\langle s, t, u \mid s^a = t^b = u^c = 1,\ stu = tus = ust\ \rangle$$

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

Achar and Aubert introduced a family of (in general infinite) groups, called *J-groups*.

Let a, b, c three integers ≥ 1. Let $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$ be the group defined by the presentation

$$\langle s, t, u \mid s^a = t^b = u^c = 1,\ stu = tus = ust \rangle$$

Let a', b' and c' be three pairwise coprime integers, dividing a, b and c respectively.

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

Achar and Aubert introduced a family of (in general infinite) groups, called *J-groups*.

Let a, b, c three integers ≥ 1. Let $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$ be the group defined by the presentation

$$\langle s, t, u \mid s^a = t^b = u^c = 1,\ stu = tus = ust \rangle$$

Let a', b' and c' be three pairwise coprime integers, dividing a, b and c respectively. Let $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ a' & b' & c' \end{pmatrix}$ be the normal subgroup of $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$ generated by $s^{a'}, t^{b'}$ and $u^{c'}$.

J-groups (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

Achar and Aubert introduced a family of (in general infinite) groups, called *J-groups*.

Let a, b, c three integers ≥ 1. Let $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$ be the group defined by the presentation

$$\langle s, t, u \mid s^a = t^b = u^c = 1,\ stu = tus = ust \rangle$$

Let a', b' and c' be three pairwise coprime integers, dividing a, b and c respectively. Let $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ a' & b' & c' \end{pmatrix}$ be the normal subgroup of $J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}$ generated by $s^{a'}, t^{b'}$ and $u^{c'}$. We omit 1's in the second row of parameters, consistently with

$$J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = J\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

J-groups

J-groups

Theorem (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

A J-group is finite if and only if it is a finite complex reflection group of rank 2.

J-groups

Theorem (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

A J-group is finite if and only if it is a finite complex reflection group of rank 2.

- Achar and Aubert also showed that every J-group G admits a representation $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $\rho(s)$, $\rho(t)$ and $\rho(u)$ are reflections preserving a Hermitian form (so that the image is a complex reflection group).

J-groups

Theorem (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

A J-group is finite if and only if it is a finite complex reflection group of rank 2.

- Achar and Aubert also showed that every J-group G admits a representation $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $\rho(s)$, $\rho(t)$ and $\rho(u)$ are reflections preserving a Hermitian form (so that the image is a complex reflection group). When G is not finite, this representation is *not* faithful in general.

J-groups

Theorem (Achar-Aubert, 2008)

A J-group is finite if and only if it is a finite complex reflection group of rank 2.

- Achar and Aubert also showed that every J-group G admits a representation $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $\rho(s)$, $\rho(t)$ and $\rho(u)$ are reflections preserving a Hermitian form (so that the image is a complex reflection group). When G is not finite, this representation is *not* faithful in general.
- This result is reminiscent of the following theorem : let W be a Coxeter group. Then W is a real reflection group if and only if W is finite.

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

Definition

Let k, n, m be three integers ≥ 2 with $n < m$ and n, m coprime.

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

Definition

Let k, n, m be three integers ≥ 2 with $n < m$ and n, m coprime. Let $\mathcal{J}(n, m, k) := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & n & m \end{pmatrix}$.

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

Definition

Let k, n, m be three integers ≥ 2 with $n < m$ and n, m coprime. Let $\mathcal{J}(n, m, k) := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & n & m \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem

Let k, n, m as above. We have $W(k, n, m) \cong \mathcal{J}(k, n, m)$.

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

Definition

Let k, n, m be three integers ≥ 2 with $n < m$ and n, m coprime. Let $\mathcal{J}(n, m, k) := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & n & m \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem

Let k, n, m as above. We have $W(k, n, m) \cong \mathcal{J}(k, n, m)$.

- The group in the LHS is a quotient of the torus knot group $G(n, m)$.

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

Definition

Let k, n, m be three integers ≥ 2 with $n < m$ and n, m coprime. Let $\mathcal{J}(n,m,k) := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & n & m \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem

Let k, n, m as above. We have $W(k,n,m) \cong \mathcal{J}(k,n,m)$.

- The group in the LHS is a quotient of the torus knot group $G(n,m)$. When the J-group is finite, this group $G(n,m)$ is the braid group of the corresponding finite CRG.

A family of J-groups with a single conjugacy class of "reflecting hyperplanes"

Definition

Let k, n, m be three integers ≥ 2 with $n < m$ and n, m coprime. Let $\mathcal{J}(n, m, k) := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & n & m \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem

Let k, n, m as above. We have $W(k, n, m) \cong \mathcal{J}(k, n, m)$.

- The group in the LHS is a quotient of the torus knot group $G(n, m)$. When the J-group is finite, this group $G(n, m)$ is the braid group of the corresponding finite CRG.
- In this way, we associate a Garside group to every J-group in the above defined family, in such a way that whenever the J-group is finite, one recovers the braid group of the CRG.

Braid groups of J-groups ?

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n,m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k,n,m)$.

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n,m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k,n,m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n,m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k,n,m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that
  - When $W(k,n,m)$ is infinite, we do not know if these groups have a faithful representation as complex reflection groups of rank two in general.

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n, m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k, n, m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that
  - When $W(k, n, m)$ is infinite, we do not know if these groups have a faithful representation as complex reflection groups of rank two in general. Hence it is hard to define the "braid group" as the fundamental group of the space of regular orbits of a reflection representation.

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n,m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k,n,m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that
  - When $W(k,n,m)$ is infinite, we do not know if these groups have a faithful representation as complex reflection groups of rank two in general. Hence it is hard to define the "braid group" as the fundamental group of the space of regular orbits of a reflection representation.
  - For $(n_1,m_1) \neq (n_2,m_2)$ (with $n_i < m_i$ and n_i, m_i coprime), we have $G(n_1,m_1) \not\cong G(n_2,m_2)$ (Schreier, 1924).

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n, m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k, n, m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that
  - When $W(k, n, m)$ is infinite, we do not know if these groups have a faithful representation as complex reflection groups of rank two in general. Hence it is hard to define the "braid group" as the fundamental group of the space of regular orbits of a reflection representation.
  - For $(n_1, m_1) \neq (n_2, m_2)$ (with $n_i < m_i$ and n_i, m_i coprime), we have $G(n_1, m_1) \ncong G(n_2, m_2)$ (Schreier, 1924). It is not clear a priori that if $W(k_1, n_1, m_1) \cong W(k_2, n_2, m_2)$ (as "reflection groups"), then $(k_1, n_1, m_1) = (k_2, n_2, m_2)$.

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n,m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k,n,m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that
  - When $W(k,n,m)$ is infinite, we do not know if these groups have a faithful representation as complex reflection groups of rank two in general. Hence it is hard to define the "braid group" as the fundamental group of the space of regular orbits of a reflection representation.
  - For $(n_1,m_1) \neq (n_2,m_2)$ (with $n_i < m_i$ and n_i, m_i coprime), we have $G(n_1,m_1) \ncong G(n_2,m_2)$ (Schreier, 1924). It is not clear a priori that if $W(k_1,n_1,m_1) \cong W(k_2,n_2,m_2)$ (as "reflection groups"), then $(k_1,n_1,m_1) = (k_2,n_2,m_2)$.
- In fact the parameter k_i is the number of conjugacy classes of reflections in $W(k_i,n_i,m_i)$.

Braid groups of J-groups ?

- From the above observations, it is tempting to call $G(n,m)$ the "braid group" of $W(k,n,m)$.
- However, it is not clear that this combinatorial definition is the right one. Note that
  - When $W(k,n,m)$ is infinite, we do not know if these groups have a faithful representation as complex reflection groups of rank two in general. Hence it is hard to define the "braid group" as the fundamental group of the space of regular orbits of a reflection representation.
  - For $(n_1,m_1) \neq (n_2,m_2)$ (with $n_i < m_i$ and n_i, m_i coprime), we have $G(n_1,m_1) \ncong G(n_2,m_2)$ (Schreier, 1924). It is not clear a priori that if $W(k_1,n_1,m_1) \cong W(k_2,n_2,m_2)$ (as "reflection groups"), then $(k_1,n_1,m_1) = (k_2,n_2,m_2)$.
- In fact the parameter k_i is the number of conjugacy classes of reflections in $W(k_i,n_i,m_i)$. Hence at least $W(k_1,n_1,m_1) \cong_{\text{ref}} W(k_2,n_2,m_2) \Rightarrow k_1 = k_2$.

Center of $W(k, n, m)$

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- The element $c := (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^m$ is central in $W(k,n,m)$.

Center of $W(k, n, m)$

- The element $c := (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^m$ is central in $W(k, n, m)$. Let $\overline{W}(k, n, m) = W(k, n, m)/(c = 1)$.

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- The element $c := (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^m$ is central in $W(k,n,m)$. Let $\overline{W}(k,n,m) = W(k,n,m)/(c=1)$. Let $W_{k,n,m}$ be the rank three Coxeter group

$$W_{k,n,m} = \left\langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} r_1^2 = r_2^2 = r_3^2 = 1, \\ (r_1 r_2)^k = (r_2 r_3)^n = (r_3 r_1)^m = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- The element $c := (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^m$ is central in $W(k,n,m)$. Let $\overline{W}(k,n,m) = W(k,n,m)/(c=1)$. Let $W_{k,n,m}$ be the rank three Coxeter group

$$W_{k,n,m} = \left\langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} r_1^2 = r_2^2 = r_3^2 = 1, \\ (r_1 r_2)^k = (r_2 r_3)^n = (r_3 r_1)^m = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

- There is a group morphism $J := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & & \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow W_{k,n,m}$, $s \mapsto r_1 r_2$, $t \mapsto r_2 r_3$, $u \mapsto r_3 r_1$.

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- The element $c := (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^m$ is central in $W(k,n,m)$. Let $\overline{W}(k,n,m) = W(k,n,m)/(c=1)$. Let $W_{k,n,m}$ be the rank three Coxeter group

$$W_{k,n,m} = \left\langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} r_1^2 = r_2^2 = r_3^2 = 1, \\ (r_1 r_2)^k = (r_2 r_3)^n = (r_3 r_1)^m = 1 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

- There is a group morphism $J := J\begin{pmatrix} k & n & m \\ & & \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow W_{k,n,m}$, $s \mapsto r_1 r_2$, $t \mapsto r_2 r_3$, $u \mapsto r_3 r_1$. Its image is the alternating subgroup $W^+_{k,n,m}$ of $W_{k,n,m}$. One has $J/(stu = 1) \cong W^+_{k,n,m}$.

Center of $W(k, n, m)$

Center of $W(k, n, m)$

Proposition

We have $\overline{W}(k, n, m) \cong W^{+}_{k,n,m}$.

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

Proposition

We have $\overline{W}(k,n,m) \cong W^+_{k,n,m}$.

Proposition

Let (W,S) *be a Coxeter system of rank* ≥ 3. *Then the center of the alternating subgroup* W^+ *of* W *is included in the center of* W.

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

Proposition

We have $\overline{W}(k,n,m) \cong W^+_{k,n,m}$.

Proposition

Let (W,S) *be a Coxeter system of rank* ≥ 3*. Then the center of the alternating subgroup* W^+ *of* W *is included in the center of* W*. In particular, if* W *is infinite irreducible with* $|S| \geq 3$*, then the center of* W^+ *is trivial.*

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

Proposition

We have $\overline{W}(k,n,m) \cong W^+_{k,n,m}$.

Proposition

Let (W,S) *be a Coxeter system of rank* ≥ 3. *Then the center of the alternating subgroup* W^+ *of* W *is included in the center of* W. *In particular, if* W *is infinite irreducible with* $|S| \geq 3$, *then the center of* W^+ *is trivial.*

Corollary

The center of $W(k,n,m)$ *is cyclic, generated by* c.

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

Proposition

We have $\overline{W}(k,n,m) \cong W^+_{k,n,m}$.

Proposition

Let (W,S) *be a Coxeter system of rank* ≥ 3. *Then the center of the alternating subgroup* W^+ *of* W *is included in the center of* W. *In particular, if* W *is infinite irreducible with* $|S| \geq 3$, *then the center of* W^+ *is trivial.*

Corollary

The center of $W(k,n,m)$ *is cyclic, generated by* c.

- In general we do not know if c has finite order or not.

Center of $W(k, n, m)$

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- In the cases where $W(k,n,m)$ is finite we recover the known description of $\overline{W}(k,n,m)$ as a particular case:

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- In the cases where $W(k,n,m)$ is finite we recover the known description of $\overline{W}(k,n,m)$ as a particular case:

k	n	m	$W(k,n,m)$	$\overline{W}(k,n,m)$
2	3	4	G_{12}	$W(B_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$
2	3	5	G_{22}	$W(H_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$
3	2	3	G_4	$W(A_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_3$
4	2	3	G_8	$W(B_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$
5	2	3	G_{16}	$W(H_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$
3	2	5	G_{20}	$W(H_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$

Center of $W(k,n,m)$

- In the cases where $W(k,n,m)$ is finite we recover the known description of $\overline{W}(k,n,m)$ as a particular case:

k	n	m	$W(k,n,m)$	$\overline{W}(k,n,m)$
2	3	4	G_{12}	$W(B_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$
2	3	5	G_{22}	$W(H_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$
3	2	3	G_4	$W(A_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_3$
4	2	3	G_8	$W(B_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$
5	2	3	G_{16}	$W(H_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$
3	2	5	G_{20}	$W(H_3)^+ \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$

- With the observations above, to show that $W(k,n_1,m_1) \cong_{\text{ref}} W(k,n_2,m_2)$ (with $n_i < m_i$ and coprime) implies $(n_1,m_1) = (n_2,m_2)$, it suffices to show that $W^+_{k,n_1,m_1} \cong W^+_{k,n_1,m_1}$ implies that $(n_1,m_1) = (n_2,m_2)$.

Thank you for your attention !