Asymptotic control of chaos for a partial differential equation

K.-D. PHUNG

kim-dang.phung@cmla.ens-cachan.fr

Abstract .- We control asymptotically the chaotic behaviour of a infinite-dimensional dynamical system generated by a first-order linear partial differential equation described in [1].

1 Introduction and main result

For $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, let X_n be the space of functions defined by

$$X_n = \left\{ v \in C^n [0, 1]; v(0) = v'(0) = \dots = v^{(n)}(0) = 0 \right\},$$

and equipped with the topology of uniform convergence with derivatives of order $\leq n$ and with the norm

$$||v|| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |v^{(n)}(x)|$$
.

Let $\{S_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the semiflow on the space X_n generated by the first-order partial differential equation

$$u_t + xu_x = \lambda u \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) , \qquad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and with initial condition $u\left(\cdot,t=0\right)$ in X_n

$$u(x,0) = v(x)$$
 for $x \in [0,1]$.

Then

$$S_t v(x) = u(x,t) = e^{\lambda t} v(xe^{-t})$$
.

In [1], the authors prove that if $\lambda \leq n$, then for all $v \in X_n$, $\lim_{t \to +\infty} ||S_t v|| = 0$ and that if $\lambda > n$, then for almost every $v \in X_n$, the trajectory starting from v is strongly turbulent. Our motivation is to control the semiflow $\{S_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ when $\lambda > n$.

Let $f = f(x, t) \in C([0, +\infty); X_n)$ denote the additive control action. Introducing f locally in space-time variables into the infinite-dimensional dynamic system (1.1) yields

$$u_t(x,t) + xu_x(x,t) = \lambda u(x,t) + f(x,t) \cdot \chi(x)_{[0,2\varepsilon]} \cdot 1(t)_{[0,T]}$$
(1.2)

for $(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,+\infty)$ and with initial condition $u(\cdot,t=0)$ in X_n

$$u(x,0) = v(x) \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1] ,$$
 (1.3)

where T>0, $\varepsilon\in(0,1/2)$, $1\left(x\right)_{_{\left[0,R\right]}}$ is the characteristic function on $\left[0,R\right]$ for some R>0, i.e. $1\left(x\right)_{_{\left[0,R\right]}}=1$ if $x\in\left[0,R\right]$, $1\left(x\right)_{_{\left[0,R\right]}}=0$ if $x\notin\left[0,R\right]$, and $\chi\left(x\right)_{\left[0,R\right]}$ denotes a smooth function $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\chi\left(x\right)_{\left[0,R\right]}=1$ if $x\in\left[-R/2,R/2\right]$, $\chi\left(x\right)_{\left[0,R\right]}=0$ if $x\notin\left[-R,R\right]$.

The control problem is to find a control function f such that the solution u of system (1.2) satisfies $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\|u(\cdot,t)\|=0$.

The main result of this note is as follows.

Theorem .- For all $v \in X_n$ the control function f given by

$$f\left(x,t\right) = \frac{-1}{T}e^{\lambda t}v\left(xe^{-t}\right)$$

implies that the solution u of the infinite-dimensional dynamical system (1.2)-(1.3) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\| = 0.$$

2 Proof of Theorem

Consider the first-order partial differential equation with second member $F = F(x, t) \in C([0, T]; X_n)$

$$u_t + xu_x = \lambda u + F$$
 for $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, T]$,

with initial condition $u(\cdot, t = 0)$ in X_n

$$u(x,0) = v(x)$$
 for $x \in [0,1]$.

Then

$$u(x,t) = S_t v(x) + \int_0^t S_{t-s} F(x,s) ds = e^{\lambda t} v(xe^{-t}) + \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} F(xe^{-(t-s)},s) ds$$

and

$$u\left(x,T\right)\cdot 1\left(x\right)_{[0,\varepsilon]} = e^{\lambda T} \left(v\left(xe^{-T}\right)\cdot 1\left(x\right)_{[0,\varepsilon]} + \int_{0}^{T} e^{-\lambda s} F\left(xe^{-(T-s)},s\right)\cdot 1\left(x\right)_{[0,\varepsilon]} ds\right) \ .$$

If $F\left(x,t\right)=\frac{-1}{T}e^{\lambda t}v\left(xe^{-t}\right)\cdot\chi\left(x\right)_{\left[0,2\varepsilon\right]}$ then $F\left(xe^{-(T-s)},s\right)=\frac{-1}{T}e^{\lambda s}v\left(xe^{-(T-s)}e^{-s}\right)\cdot\chi\left(xe^{-(T-s)}\right)_{\left[0,2\varepsilon\right]}$ and

$$\begin{array}{ll} e^{-\lambda s} F\left(x e^{-(T-s)},s\right) \cdot 1\left(x\right)_{\left[0,\varepsilon\right]} &= \frac{-1}{T} v\left(x e^{-T}\right) \cdot \chi\left(x e^{-(T-s)}\right)_{\left[0,2\varepsilon\right]} \cdot 1\left(x\right)_{\left[0,\varepsilon\right]} \\ &= \frac{-1}{T} v\left(x e^{-T}\right) \cdot 1\left(x\right)_{\left[0,\varepsilon\right]} \end{array},$$

because when $(x,s) \in [0,\varepsilon] \times (0,T)$, $xe^{-(T-s)} \in [0,\varepsilon]$. We conclude that u(x,T) = 0 for all $x \in [0,\varepsilon]$.

Now, consider the first-order partial differential equation for times $t \in (T, +\infty)$

$$u_t + xu_x = \lambda u$$
 for $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (T, +\infty)$,

with initial condition $u(\cdot, t = T)$ in X_n

$$u(x,T) = w(x)$$
 for $x \in [0,1]$,

such that w(x) = 0 for $x \in [0, \varepsilon]$. Then

$$u(x,t) = e^{\lambda(t-T)} w\left(xe^{-(t-T)}\right)$$

and consequently, for all $(x,t) \in [0,1] \times \left(\ln \frac{e^T}{\varepsilon}, +\infty\right)$, $xe^{-(t-T)} \in [0,\varepsilon]$ and u(x,t) = 0.

This completes the proof.

References

[1] J. Myjak and R. Rudnicki, Stability versus chaos for a partial differential equation. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 14 (2002) 607-612.